

University of Groningen

Effective public enforcement of cartels

Outhuijse, Annalies

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Outhuijse, A. (2019). *Effective public enforcement of cartels: explaining the high percentages of litigation and successful litigation in the Netherlands*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Effective public enforcement of cartels: explaining the high percentages of litigation and successful litigation in the Netherlands

Annalies Outhuijse

Annalies Outhuijse

Effective public enforcement of cartels: explaining the high percentages of litigation and successful litigation in the Netherlands

ISBN: 978-94-034-1769-1 (Paperback)

ISBN: 978-94-034-1768-4 (Ebook)

Copyright © 2019 Annalies Outhuijse

All rights reserved. No part of this thesis may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any way or by any means without the prior permission of the author, or when applicable, of the publishers of the scientific papers.

Cover design by: Danielle Balk

Layout and design by Danielle Balk | persoonlijkproefschrift.nl.

Printed by: Printing: Ridderprint BV | www.ridderprint.nl



university of
 groningen

Effective public enforcement of cartels: explaining the high percentages of litigation and successful litigation in the Netherlands

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD
 at the University of Groningen
 on the authority of the
 Rector Magnificus prof. E. Sterken
 and in accordance with
 the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Tuesday 25 June 2019 at 16.15 hours

by

Annalies Outhuijse

born on 1 April 1992
 in Franeker

Supervisors

Prof. J.H. Jans

Prof. H.H.B. Vedder

Assessment committee

Prof. A.T. Marseille

Prof. J. Langer

Prof. W.P.J. Wils

Contents

Chapter one: Introduction

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 10 |
| 2. | Methodology and plan of approach | 12 |
| 3. | Justification methodological choices | 16 |
| 4. | Relation research to previous research | 19 |

Chapter two: Effective public enforcement of the cartel prohibition in the Netherlands: A comparison of ACM fining decisions, District Court judgments and TIAT judgments

- | | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 24 |
| 2. | Analysis of District Court judgments | 25 |
| 3. | To appeal or not to appeal | 28 |
| 4. | Analysis of TIAT judgments | 34 |
| 5. | Negative externalities of the Dutch public enforcement regime | 44 |
| 6. | Developments influencing judicial review in the Netherlands | 46 |
| 7. | Concluding remarks | 48 |

Chapter three: Effective public enforcement of cartels: Rates of challenged and annulled cartel fines in ten European Member States

- | | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 52 |
| 2. | Relevant literature regarding the European enforcement | 55 |
| 3. | The rates and methodological considerations | 58 |
| 4. | Rates, nature of annulments and developments individual Member States | 61 |
| 5. | Summary and concluding observations | 84 |

Chapter four: The effective public enforcement of the prohibition of anti-competitive agreements: Why do undertakings in the Netherlands appeal?

- | | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 1. | Introduction | 92 |
| 2. | Actors, procedures and percentages | 93 |
| 3. | Explanation of appeal behaviour – the theory | 101 |
| 4. | Explanation of appeal behaviour – the interviews | 107 |
| 5. | Explanation of appeal behaviour – the practice | 111 |
| 6. | Concluding remarks | 119 |

Chapter five: The effective public enforcement of cartels: Perceptions on the functioning of the objection procedure and the reality

1.	Introduction	124
2.	Introduction to the cartel objection procedure	125
3.	The objection procedure in practice – experiences	129
4.	The objection procedure in practice – case studies	135
5.	The ability of the cartel objection procedure to resolve disputes	141
6.	Summary and concluding remarks	144
	References	146

Chapter six: The effective public enforcement of the prohibition of anti-competitive agreements: Which factors influence the high percentage of annulments of Dutch cartel fines?

1.	Introduction	150
2.	Introduction to the Dutch annulments	152
3.	Methodology	153
4.	Evaluating the factors	157
4.1	Party features	157
4.2	Case features	165
4.3	Law features	171
4.4	Court features	180
5.	Summary and concluding remarks	195

Chapter seven: Conclusions

1.	Research outcomes	202
2.	Implications research outcomes and explorative recommendations	210
3.	Relevance of the research outcomes, limitations and possible follow-up research	220

Annex

Annex I: Nederlandse samenvatting	226
Annex II: Acknowledgments	230
Annex III: Curriculum Vitae	232

